

Meeting # 11, 1 July 2020, 5.30 pm to 8 pm | via Technology

PRESENT			
Margaret Harvie (MH - Chairperson)			
COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES			
Chelsea Ford (CF)	Linda Gosling (LG)	Tom Harley (TH)	Sofie Mason-Jones (SMJ)
Julie Osborne (JO)	Robert Postema (RP)	Steve Rankin (SR)	Vivienne Skinner (VS)
LOCAL GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVES			
Cr Philip Thalys (City of Sydney Council) until 6.58 pm (PT)			
SYDNEY FOOTBALL STADIUM REPRESENTATIVES			
Peter Hynd, SFS Project Director (PH)	Kerrie Mather, Chief Executive Officer, Sydney Cricket & Sports Ground Trust (KM)		
PRESENTERS			
Paul Cassel, Project Director, John Holland until 6.14 pm (PC)	Tiffany Jones, Communications and Stakeholder Manager, John Holland (TJ) until 6.14 pm	Stephanie Ballango, Director, Savills Australia (SB)	Russell Lee, Director, Cox Architecture (Presenter) (RL)
GUESTS			
Denise Ora, Executive Director Botanic Gardens & Centennial Parklands until 7.57 pm (DO)	Josh Milston, Director, JMT Consulting (JM)	Vlad Popovski, Senior Communication Officer, Infrastructure NSW (VP)	Erica van den Honert, Director, Infrastructure Management, Planning and Assessment - Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) (EVDH)
APOLOGIES			
Paula Masselos (Mayor of Waverley Council) (PM)		Danny Said (Mayor of Randwick Council) (DS)	
MEETING NOTES			
Leanne Smith, Governance House Pty Ltd			

1. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

Margaret Harvie Acknowledged the traditional custodians of the land and paid respect to Elders past, present and emerging, highlighting that attendees are blessed to be able to live on these Aboriginal lands.

2. AGENDA ITEM # 1: OPENING & QUORUM

Margaret Harvie Welcomed all attendees to the eleventh meeting of the Sydney Football Stadium (SFS) Redevelopment Community Consultation Committee (CCC) and declared the meeting open at **5.36 pm**. Noted requirement to continue to meet via this technology at this time due the number of attendees and continuing COVID-19 restrictions in relation to meeting venues capacity and social distancing.

DISCUSSION Committee members and guests all re-introduced themselves to the meeting, providing insight into their hopes for the return to a new normal. Committee Members discussed desire for a return to travel, uninhibited leisure activities; social interaction, and desire for visits to friends and family interstate.

3. APOLOGIES

A late apology came from Paula Masselos, Mayor of Waverley and Danny Said had indicated that he may be late to the meeting.

4. AGENDA ITEM # 2: CONFLICT OF INTEREST CONTINUOUS DISCLOSURE DECLARATIONS

No actual, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest (pecuniary or other) were tabled.

5. AGENDA ITEM # 3: PROJECT UPDATE / CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

Paul Cassel

Provided a Project Update including a visual representation, highlighting:

- Three piling rigs currently onsite and the image shows platform construction for the piling rigs;
- Capping and demarcation continues for identified asbestos (with a hygienist onsite to monitor same);
- Nearing completion of a retaining wall on the eastern side;
- Storm water services activity (connecting the old and new);
- Indicated that 21% of the piles have been installed;
- Noted approximately 30,000 cubic metres of soil removed;
- Upcoming activity related to the certification of Stage 3 Construction Management Plan (basement to concourse level) followed by the super structure;
- Offsite activity including steel manufacture and precast for manufacture;
- Tower crane construction to commence in August.

Tiffany Jones

Reported the following:

- Dilapidation Reports - noting that all have been circulated via email as required;
- No exceedance of vibration levels have been identified by monitoring to date, with levels published as part of environmental reports provided monthly on the Infrastructure NSW (INSW) website;
- John Holland will do further dilapidation reports for any residents on Moore Park Road that request them.
- Recent complaints including:
 - 19 May – from a local business regarding vibrations;
 - 12 June relating to contractors parking on Moore Park Road
 - Both complaints have been closed out.
- She spoke to the proactive Traffic Management Plan in place and reminders via prestarts and toolbox talks to sub-contractors with regard to parking in residential streets;
- Highlighted that two more complaints are currently under investigation, with the detail to be reported to the next meeting of the CCC;
- Community contact made included:
 - 22 June regarding extended working hours to Saturday;
 - 12 May in relation to the online forum; an announcement regarding the piling rigs; and correspondence regarding the next Community Forum date (to be held in early July).

COMMUNITY QUESTIONS & RESPONSE

Linda Gosling

Asked about the impact of the new cycle way on Moore Park Road.

Response

TJ indicated that there are traffic controllers on Moore Park Road and the Traffic Management Plan required trucks entering site to give way to cyclists and pedestrians.

Sofie Mason-Jones

Asked if the findings of the Dilapidation Reports would be provided to residents?

Response

TJ clarified that these were the Baseline Reports only and that outgoing reports would be done once construction was complete

Robert Postema was noted as having temporarily dropped out of the meeting at **5.54 pm**.

Vivienne Skinner

Asked whether the project was currently on time?

Response

PC confirmed that everything is running to schedule (both onsite and offsite) and expressed confidence in meeting the schedule at this point in time. Highlighted identification of a dyke that was bridged by additional piles.

Vivienne Skinner / Linda Gosling

Requested information relating to Busby's Bore.

Response

PH reported the location of two shafts are identified and that they are largely blocked, noting interaction with Sydney Water (the Bore being a Heritage Register item). Clarified that Busby Bore is outside of the footprint of the stadium structure (within the public domain) and is approximately 14 metres down.

Indicated that vibration monitors are in place, with the Construction Management Plan including methodology for works in the vicinity of the Bore.

Highlighted that there are features that will be included in the next Newsletter including a sandstone wall (partially demolished) that was discovered dating from c.1917.

Linda Gosling

Sought clarification of whether any human remains had been found?

Response

PH confirmed that no human remains have been found.

Reported liaison with the Indigenous community regarding the excavation works. Also highlighted the historical use of the area including as a rifle range and sports ground.

Noted that the Newsletter will include a history of the area and sharing of additional background material.

Steve Rankin

Noted recent government announcements for additional transport spending and sought detail of the implications for traffic flows to the stadium (positive or negative). Further reported the stated government desire to establish more community precincts.

Response

PH responded that they have not received any detail of this at this stage.

6. AGENDA ITEM # 4: ACTION ITEM REGISTER

Margaret Harvie

Open Actions from previous meetings for discussion and update.

The following changes to the **Action Item Register** were recorded:

- *INSW to invite Denise Ora to the next meeting to present the Centennial Parklands Trust position on when the plan for removal of parking on Moore Park will be implemented and who is responsible – Denise in attendance to provide input into the discussion following the Transport Study Presentation;*
- [Item 01_05/20](#) - *Jessica Hodge to confirm closure of Driver Avenue to traffic on game day.*

The requirements in relation to hostile vehicle management sees Driver Avenue being closed during events (PH clarified that the issue had been raised in the context of the ticket office and crowd management);

- [Item 02_05/20](#) – *Peter Hynd to report back to a future meeting with further details of the Public Art Strategy and Plan.*

PH reported imminent finalisation of procurement for a specific advisor to prepare the Public Art Plan (chosen via a tender process with the aim of addressing the conditions under the Planning Proposal).

- SMJ requested information regarding inclusion of Aboriginal elements in the Plan.
- PH confirmed the purpose of the work is to operationalise the strategy including elements such as the SCG walk of honour, statues from the old stadium and indigenous history etc.
- PT noted that the City of Sydney has both an Art Panel and Indigenous Panel, and recommended that meetings be held with both.
- PH indicated that the tender process is not yet complete, with stakeholder engagement also required as part of the process in accordance with the planning approval.

- [Item 03_05/20](#) - *Tiffany Jones to request that the Environmental Team look into vibrations at nearby properties and provide a response.*

TJ confirmed that the Vibration findings were included with the circulated Agenda. Noted that results are monitored in real time / on a daily basis and no exceedances had been received.

Robert Postema rejoined the meeting at **6.05 pm**.

- [Item 04_05/20](#) – *Tom Harley to report to the July meeting on any discussion with the codes about integrated ticketing.*

TH referred to the six primary tenants including the four winter codes and noted that all four have integrated ticketing as part of the solution (Cricket Australia and the Sydney Sixers do not include transport as part of the ticket offer).

- LG asked if there were any plans in this regard?
- TH noted costs constraints, with outcomes negotiated with Transport NSW.
- SMJ discussed the benefits of tracking data regarding use of integrated ticketing (with general agreement on the value of this data).

- PH confirmed that the NRL utilize integrated ticketing at Stadium Australia, noting there are complications in relation to the data captured through the Opal system as integrated ticketing is largely still paper-based.
- JO suggested value in discussion with Centennial Parklands around less parking on Moore Park.
- TH discussed the broader context / solution, highlighting the need for baseline information on the use of integrated ticketing (which is hard to estimate) including for the use of the Light Rail. Further noted the membership base/s and requirement for consideration of requirements on a case by case basis.
- DO discussed big data and transport in overseas jurisdictions, highlighting the ability to capture data where it is linked to a credit card

Margaret Harvie Asked RP if he had any additional questions for the John Holland representatives (noting that due to technology glitch he was not present during their report)?

Robert Postema Confirmed there were no issues for tabling, with the vibrations matter addressed by TJ (noting requirement for continued monitoring / feedback).

Paul Cassel and Tiffany Jones left the meeting at **6.14 pm**.

7. AGENDA ITEMS # 5 & 6: PRESENTATION: TRANSPORT STUDY & TRANSPORT PLAN SCOPING & RESPONSE

Stephanie Ballango Discussed her role as a Director at Saviills Australia and her Town Planning background, noting her work with INSW and the Trust on the Stadium for close to 12 months.

She has a role overseeing the preparation of the Event Traffic and Transport Management Plan (ETTMP). This operational document is required to be finalised and endorsed by the SCO at least 6 months prior to the commencement of the Stadium's operation in Q3 of 2022.

Highlighted the following:

- Requirement for consultation with a number of stakeholders;
- Integration of traffic and transport issues;
- Noted this as the beginning of the process to establish the framework and methodology;
- Attendance also by Josh Milston, a traffic and transport consultant engaged by INSW to prepare the ETTMP;
- Analysis undertaken during the Stage 1 and 2 Development Application (DA) that established the baseline principles of how traffic and transport would interact in the precinct, stadium, and project site;
- Noted *in principle* approval for general locations for taxi and ride share drop-offs; coach parking; pedestrian pathways; and public transport;
- The ETTMP to: finalise components of appropriate transport; consider and respond to the transport environment and to ensure alignment with related plans;
- Two key conditions of consent Condition D16: a site specific condition to ensure safety and efficiency (across 20-line items) including: consultation; event types and scenarios; cumulative impacts; and specific considerations (carparking; crowd management; emergency vehicle access etc.);
- Requirement to provide evidence of alignment with the Moore Park Traffic and Transport Management Plan;
- Condition D17 including: endorsement by the Coordinator General, Transport Coordination, Transport for NSW within six months prior to operations; and to submit a copy of the endorsed plan to: the CCC; Planning Secretary; Certifying Authority; and Council for information three months prior to operations;
- To be operational in the first quarter of 2022;
- Eighteen months of work to be completed;
- Roles and Responsibilities: with INSW to prepare the application;
- Engagement with the Trust; Centennial Park and Moore Park Trust; Transport NSW; NSW Police and the City of Sydney;
- Four different event types: typical events of up to 20,000; peak events of up to 45,000; peak concert / double headers up to 55,000 and peak double headers up to 80,000;
- Review of how people travel to the site using modes of choice and how that changes for event types;

- Scenarios and testing of impact on pedestrian routes and crowd plans;
- Project Interface: different activities and attractions that continue to undergo change;
- Requirement to work with different authorities and landowners (and identify inter-dependencies) and to understand the mode of travel / crossing of boundaries and seamless transport options;
- Noted that the Trust has jurisdiction over the project site but not over other parts of the precinct or other sites outside of the precinct;
- Passenger pick-up and drop-off to include the full range of modes: taxis, ride share; coaches and general access;
- Proposals in relation to geofencing (a tool to create exclusion zone/s) with investigations having commenced;
- Requirement to consider turnaround space for coaches and stretch vehicles including interface with other vehicles and pedestrians;
- Consideration of the current and future environment.

Josh Milston

Reported that passenger drop-off points require revisiting, clarifying that not all sites (as shown in the slides) would apply to every event.

Stephanie Ballango

Continued her Presentation, highlighting:

- Carparking as an area of interest, noting requirement to look at the Moore Park Masterplan and Park and Ride opportunities (such as Randwick Racecourse);
- Noted that most carparks are outside of the Sydney Cricket Ground Trust, requiring collaboration with different partners and property owners;
- Crowd Management considerations: how to best manage crowds prior to and following events for different events and scenarios including: traffic controllers; location of pedestrian crossings; road closures; impact on the Moore Park cycleway; other permanent and temporary structures; and measures to move people quickly in to and out of the site and precinct;
- Continued work with Transport NSW;
- Overlaying of everyday scenarios to understand the flow and the numbers of people (facilitating quick entry and egress);
- Consideration of Integrated ticketing, wayfinding signage, and emergency vehicle access noting:
 - Reliance on signage and technology to allow for integrated and seamless wayfinding;
 - Requirement to consider emergency vehicle access and egress arrangements servicing the site, precinct and surrounding area;
- Performance levels and targets that are implementable; transparent; and reviewed, monitored, and reported on;
- Indicators and targets have not yet been defined. Possible indicators to be explored include: mode share; traffic congestion; public transport; bus and coach options; incidents with pedestrians / safety;
 - Next steps outline: Development of scoping study in 2020 to establish the evidence base, gather data and commence stakeholder engagement;
 - Engagement with stakeholders to inform development of the ETTMP in early to mid-2021;
 - Endorsement in Q2 of 2022 by Transport NSW;
 - Sharing with other stakeholders in Q3 of 2022.

COMMUNITY QUESTIONS & RESPONSE

Julie Osborne

Sought clarification as to whether the ETTMP will consider public transport. Noted the lack of commentary around the adequacy of public transport to the site and how to ensure increased usage.

Response

SB responded by confirming public transport is a fundamental assumption of the ETTMP. There is strong expectation around use of public transport and encouragement of non-vehicular modes for many reasons (including alleviating congestion and increasing enjoyment).

SB also reiterated that the Stage 1 and 2 DA considered the adequacy of Public Transport (including Buses and Light Rail) and included assumptions with regard to the capacity of the public transport network. Further highlighted discussions around use and mode i.e. she clarified that a lot of this has already been explored, with the aim to take this to the next level of detail.

JM discussed a focus on the connection between the Light Rail infrastructure and the precinct including managing the interface.

Julie Osborne	Asked if the Plan would include recommendations?
Response	JM responded that this may be possible – highlighting revised management and operations measures around vehicle drop-off and pick-up (and freeing up of pedestrian routes).
Sofie Mason-Jones	Sought detail of the interdependency between the ETTMP and the Event Carparking Management Plan (ECMP) (noting the different approval authorities).
Response	SB responded that while car parking is included in the ETTMP, they are discrete plans required by different conditions of consent. All plans have equal status and therefore require a high degree of integration.
Sofie Mason-Jones	Requested information on the timing of the ECMP?
Response	SB reported that she did not have that information to hand.
ACTION # 1	The SCSGT Trust to investigate and report back to the CCC on timing for the Event Carparking Management Plan.
Vivienne Skinner	Requested feedback on whether the users of Moore Park would be consulted as part of stakeholder engagement?
Response	SB noted that the conditions are clear with regard to the stakeholders who must be consulted in preparation of the ETTMP (and these do not include the community). Suggested that there may be opportunities for feedback through agencies and meetings, however, she deferred to the Trust in relation to how this could occur.
ACTION # 2	The SCSGT Trust to investigate and report back to the CCC on the opportunity for community consultation in relation to the development of the Plan/s.
Linda Gosling	Discussed requirement for targets in relation to public transport use and for the possibility of nil carparking or reduced carparking (noting stadiums in other areas of Australia without carparking facilities), highlighting the importance of shaping behaviour.
Response	SB reported that public transport targets are not determined as yet, highlighting the assumptions within the consent and requirement to undertake investigations / study as the first step. JM suggested that a number for public transport usage may not be relevant, noting the many variables across codes, time of day etc. Reported the desire to increase overall public transport usage and to validate the assumptions made as part of the DA process, with use of the stadium as a baseline.
Julie Osborne	Asked if the baseline is premised on what people are doing now?
Response	JM discussed requirement to see how people are travelling later this year and into next year, and to identify improvements (i.e. what is happening now is the baseline to be measured post implementation).
Vivienne Skinner	Expressed concern at the lack of a target (noting the corresponding lack of incentive). In relation to variables between codes, she suggested use of a percentage model re public transport use, noting that all supporters are arriving at the same place.
Response	JM noted that different codes have different supporter groups from different areas of Sydney, with different patterns of arrival (i.e. there is no one size fits all approach). PH discussed the impact of the different experiences and travel time, on customer choice.
Julie Osborne	Highlighted requirement to model the desired outcome.
Response	SB discussed the need to consider the timing of and type of event and where people are coming from i.e. a family event will be different to a Friday night concert. Discussed requirement to work through the behavioural assumptions and to consider different scenarios, targets, and how they are managed, as part of the objectives and goals of the ETTMP.
Julie Osborne	Queried whether distance is considered a factor in relation to public transport usage?
Response	PH noted this as only one of the factors, noting the impact of people coming from areas that are poorly serviced by public transport (noting also the diversity across the Sydney geographical area).

JM also discussed the impact of demographics and variation across events (e.g. travel in groups or as individuals / pairs).

The meeting adjourned for a short break at 6.58 pm and reconvened at 7.05 pm.

Chelsea Ford

Discussed requirement to change behaviour, with questions from the CCC being driven by this point of view, expressing disappointment in an approach that is focused on existing transport modes and actions.

Julie Osborne / Vivienne Skinner and **Linda Gosling** reiterated requirement to go beyond accepting the status quo.

Chelsea Ford

Summarised the CCC's desire for a change process.

Vivienne Skinner

Discussed the community's desire to eliminate parking on the Moore Park green space.

Denise Ora

Reported:

- Positive engagement / desire to come up with the right Plan that is public transport led;
- Agreement on requirement to change behaviour, noting that it will only come if it is encouraged;
- Opportunities in relation to integrated ticketing and disruptive technologies;
- Light Rail analysis impacted by COVID-19 and restricted passenger capacity;
- Requirement for a collaborative approach to the Plan;
- Government commitment to the best outcome for the precinct;
- The Trust's focus on ensuring the EQ carpark is filled first during events;
- Desire for community activity on Moore Park outside of game days e.g. the Teddy Bears Picnic;
- Requirement for transition and dispersal plans (e.g. Randwick Racecourse and alternative spaces / overflow at Moore Park Golf Club etc.);
- Suggested that there is not yet enough information to undertake the required modelling;
- The significant impact of COVID-19 on the tenants and the events industry.

Steve Rankin

Commented that integrated ticketing should be compulsory across all sports and supported by government. Further suggested that the price of parking should be increased as an incentive to use public transport options (with KPIs to drive behaviour and outcomes).

Vivienne Skinner

Asked for feedback on what the codes are doing to discourage car usage to get to Moore Park.

Response

TH reiterated the issue of patrons travelling from across Sydney, with not enough options available for all patrons, and desire to avoid barriers for members - with desire for a multi-modal transport solution.

Julie Osborne

Noted the threshold question of whether the stadium should be there, in light of congestion in the area, noting that in other global cities such as NYC people do not drive to events. Reiterated requirement for thinking about the future.

Response

DO discussed requirement for an equitable outcome for all, with the need to change behaviour / consider education (acknowledging that changing behaviour takes time).

Reiterated requirement for government to support the long-term outcomes (including infrastructure and costs to revitalise the space and the transition).

Robert Postema

Expressed concern that it is still unclear who will drive change in relation to carparking arrangements in Moore Park, with requirement to present alternatives and for action rather than words. Noted earlier discussion between the Mayor of Randwick and the AJC, and the need for someone to lead this opportunity.

Suggested that the current discussion remains aspirational.

Sofie Mason-Jones

Agreed, noting the need for parking analysis and agreement to remove parking from the green space in a coordinated manner.

Highlighted that COVID-19 has also led to the reassessment of the value of green space in relation to mental health and wellbeing (including exercise).

Steve Rankin

Discussed requirement to discourage single people from travelling in cars and to make it fun to travel with others.

Response

KM highlighted advocacy work being undertaken as part of the Transport Management Central Working Group including around public transport, integrated ticketing, increased awareness, and use. Suggested a combination "carrots and sticks" model.

Discussed requirement for advocacy, communication, and a shift in customer behaviour and awareness.

Highlighted the heavy rail infrastructure, noting that the distance from Central Station to the Sydney Cricket Ground (SCG) is less than from Flinders Station to the Melbourne Cricket Ground (MCG), with the need to make the experience pleasant and to create momentum and awareness.

Vivienne Skinner
Response

Discussed removal of carparking as a means of alleviating congestion.

KM highlighted that not all customers can take advantage of public transport, with some areas ill served. Echoed suggestion that EQ is an important part of the solution (with the existing multi-story carpark).

DO acknowledged comments made by VS on recent measures taken to stop the third floor from being turned into an event space. Reiterated the need to trial different things including ticketing options, and to consider how spaces work at different times, government support options etc.

8. AGENDA ITEM # 9: COMMUNITY FEEDBACK (ROUND TABLE)

Margaret Harvie Requested final thoughts / comments and tabling of any other feedback from the community prior to the presentation about the Stadium Fitness Facilities.

Chelsea Ford Asked whether there is enough of a voice for people who are less concerned with immediate business needs e.g. the sporting codes (noting vested interests in play), with suggestion that the voice is unbalanced, with a demonstrated need to give voice to the community and to foster behavioural change.

Linda Gosling Reiterated the sentiment from the CCC to reduce parking. Highlighted commentary within the community that there is not evident progress in relation to the stadium.

Julie Osborne Expressed concern at the list of stakeholders to be consulted in relation to the ETTMP, with the document to be presented to the CCC for information (as opposed to input).
Concern that the committee is being used to tick a box, rather than to facilitate change, and expressed sadness at this lost opportunity.

Vivienne Skinner Reiterated the view in relation to removal of parking from community / public spaces and agreed with concerns around the CCC not being included in the stakeholder engagement.

ACTION # 3 **The SCGST Trust** to provide feedback on how the community will be recognised as stakeholders and consulted / included in the decision making process, particularly in the context of the use of public land.

Denise Ora Acknowledged the importance of community consultation / the community feeling valued.

Steve Rankin Reported feedback from the Paddington business community that not enough is being done to promote local businesses as a destination.

Robert Postema Summarised key feedback as: requirement for someone to drive a policy solution; requirement for alternatives to driving, including financial incentives to change behaviour.
Reported requirement for consultation with the Friends of Moore Park Road, noting concern around ingress and egress to the cycle way.

Sofie Mason-Jones Noted that the community of Surry Hills is happy to be using Moore Park West, highlighting the importance of renewing green spaces with the result that they will be utilised.
Suggested consideration of marketing and promotion of public transport and integrated ticketing solutions (such as the Sydney Easter Show *Showlink Campaign*: “Hop on a train, hop on a bus, get to the show without any fuss”).

Tom Harley Acknowledged the robust discussion and referenced requirement to identify a solution that ensures that everyone can come to the stadium.

Denise Ora left the meeting at **7.57 pm**.

9. AGENDA ITEM # 7: PRESENTATION ON STADIUM FITNESS FACILITIES

- Russell Lee** Provided a PowerPoint presentation on the Stadium Fitness Facility highlighting:
- This project is separate but integrated into the stadium, with requirement to finalise the application given the impact on the design criterion for the stadium / for integration into the current build;
 - A meeting of the Design Integrity Panel to discuss the proposal for a fitness facility and how this will fit into the Design Excellence criterion in terms of design, use, heritage, sustainability etc.
 - Panel response that the design is well resolved and fits into the precinct and works with the Design Excellence criterion;
 - Demonstrated a visual of where the facility will be located contextually;
 - Changes to the landscaping as demonstrated (an overlay on the landscaping with the current plan and the status of integration with the forecourt);
 - Replacement and slight expansion of the previous facility;
 - Showed slides presenting the facility from Driver Avenue and from within the SCG;
 - Mature planting on the lower levels;
 - Ground-floor entry point on the left-hand side;
 - Finalisation of the concept design;
 - Proposal to lodge the application as a modification to current planning approval;
 - Requirement for the CCC to understand any modifications;
 - Requirement to move ahead quickly to catch up with design and construction activity onsite.

Peter Hynd Highlighted tabling for the information of the CCC, with the modification to follow the required process, clarifying this is a presentation on behalf of the Trust, it is not being managed by INSW.

ACTION # 4 **Erica van den Honert** to clarify the process around the DA modification for this proposal and report back to the CCC.

COMMUNITY QUESTIONS & RESPONSE

Vivienne Skinner Reported a question from a current Member seeking detail of when they will receive information relating to the Stadium Fitness Facilities?

Response KM discussed desire to provide the CCC with visibility before sharing the plans with the Members, with proposal to share details early in the following week.

Linda Gosling Noted the importance of seeing a comparison to the old building in order to understand the impact and for a clearer understanding around public exhibition and feedback mechanisms.

Robert Postema Requested information on funding of the project and with regard to the effect on the envelope / concourse.

Response KM reported that the Stadium Fitness Facility is being funded directly by the SCGT and is not part of the Stadium budget.

Linda Gosling Requested receipt of a copy of the Presentation Slides to allow for informed feedback as requested.

Response KM discussed requirement for the Trust to present to the Members Advisory Group on Monday as the first step.

ACTION # 5 **CCC members** to provide feedback on the Stadium Fitness Facilities within the next week to allow for comments to be addressed in the Modification documentation to go to DPIE. The presentation will be available to the CCC members to assist them in making their comments.

10. AGENDA ITEM # 10: NEXT MEETING DATE

The next meeting of the CCC will held on a date to be determined, with the original date of 7 October 2020 noted as being during the school holiday period / not suitable.

11. CLOSE OF MEETING

There being no further business the Chair closed the meeting at **8.29 pm**.

ACTIONS ARISING

01_07/20	The SCGST Trust	To investigate and report back to the CCC on timings with regard to the Event Carparking Management Plan.
02_07/20	The SCGST Trust	To investigate and report back to the CCC on the opportunity for community consultation in relation to the development of the (various Transport) Plan/s.
03_07/20	The SCGST Trust	To provide feedback on how the community will be recognised as stakeholders and consulted / included in the decision making process, particularly in the context of the use of public land
04_07/20	Erica van den Honert	To clarify the process around DA Modifications and report back to the CCC.
05_07/20	CCC Members	To provide feedback on the Stadium Fitness Facility within the next week to allow for comments to be addressed in the Modification documentation- based on receipt of a copy of the presentation.